









# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,557	12/28/2001	Atsushi Tai		4639
7	590 05/08/2003			
Atsushi Tai			EXAMINER	
16-4, Shiba-nishi 1-chome Kawaguchi-shi, Saitama, 333-0855			BREVARD, MAERENA W	
JAPAN			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	Cl
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

` نر ا		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/042,557	TAI, ATSUSHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Maerena W. Brevard	3727				
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	lanuary 2003 .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-6}$ is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗆	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment	(s)						
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8				

Application/Control Number: 10/042,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 5 and 6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a steel wire, does not reasonably provide enablement for a nightstick body. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is no way to enclose a nightstick body within a receipt object that wraps around the body of the carrier.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35
   U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claims are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure that goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete

Application/Control Number: 10/042,557

Art Unit: 3727

operative device. The claims must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patents cited.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

In claim 1, line 2 the term "with stiff" is unclear and indefinite. It appears the applicant is trying to define the degree of 'stiffness' of the steel wire, it is suggested that applicant use the term "stiff, steel wire."

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "nightstick body" in claim 2 is used by the claim to mean "a protective tool formed with a steel wire and certain stiffness", while the accepted meaning is "a club or stout, heavy bar used by a police officer." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the contact surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,557 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hines et al.

Hines discloses a protective implement comprising:

- A protective tool (11) formed with a steel wire (Column 3, lines 9-10);
- A receipt object (10);
- A drawing-out part (15, figure 1);
- A receipt object body formed in the shape of a sheath (9);
- The drawing-out part formed in a contact surface of the protective tool and in the shape of a slit; and
- The receipt object is a belt (Figures 1, 3-5, 7, and 8).

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chovaniec, Koch, Weiss, Hauschild, and Benjamin are cited for protective implement holders.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maerena W. Brevard whose telephone number is 703/305-0037.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 8:00 AM-6:00PM.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/042,557

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703/308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9302 for regular

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/305-0037.

communications and 703/872-9303 for After Final communications.

Rus Maerena Brevard May 2, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700